

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/828,531	04/14/2004	M. Zouhair Atassi	MSC-21947-1-CU 4293 EXAMINER	
24957	7590 11/06/2006			
NASA JOHNSON SPACE CENTER			SAUNDERS, DAVID A	
MAIL CODE	AL			
2101 NASA PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77058			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/828,531	ATASSI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David A. Saunders, PhD	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
	-· action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in addordance with the practice and a	x parto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers		-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	•	· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,,	d.					
·							
Attach we antico							
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Jankan danii 0	(PTO 412)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Claims 1-26 are pending.

RESTRICTION AMONG GROUPS

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21, drawn to methods of determining total urokinase concentration in a sample and kits therefor, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4+.
- II. Claim 22, drawn to peptides, classified in class 530, subclass 325-329.
- III. Claims 23-26, drawn to antibodies and hybridomas, classified in class 435, subclass 337-338 and class 530, subclass 388.25+.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the peptides of Group II are not used "for determining total urokinase concentration" as in Group I. Rather, antibodies against the peptides are used "for determining total urokinase concentration". The peptides of Group II are not provided in the kits of Group I "for determining total urokinase concentration". Rather, antibodies against the peptides are provided in the kits "for determining total urokinase concentration".

To the extent that applicant may consider the polypeptide of Group II to be used in "obtaining an immunological composition", as recited in the methods of claims 1 and

Art Unit: 1644

13, and thereby constitute a product used in the method of Group I; it is to be noted that the inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the peptide product as claimed can be used in a materially different process. For example, the peptide can be immobilized on an immunoadsorbant to be used in the affinity chromatography of antibodies specific therefor.

Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case an antibody product of Group III can be used in a process other than that of "determining total urokinase concentration". For example, the antibody could be used to purify urokinase by affinity chromatography. Also, an antibody product of Group III can be used in a process of immunohistochemical staining.

ELECTIONS OF SPECIES

In the event that Group II is elected, the following election of species requirement is stated:

Claim 22 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

peptides of Seq. ID Nos. 1-15 and 17. The species are independent or distinct because each of the peptides has its own, unique primary structure. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

In the event that Group III is elected, the following election of species requirement is stated:

Claims 23-26 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: antibodies against each of the peptides of Seq. ID Nos. 1-15 and 17. The species are

Art Unit: 1644

independent or distinct because the different antibodies are raised against different peptides, each of which has its own unique, primary structure. Each of the different antibodies thus has its own, unique binding specificity. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

ADVISORIES TO APPLICANT

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

CONTACTS

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Saunders, PhD whose telephone number is 571-272-0849. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,531 Page 7

Art Unit: 1644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Typed 10/31/06 DAS

DAVID A, SAUNDERS. PRIMARY EXAMINER